STUDIES ON THE METABOLISM
OF METHANOL AND FORMALDEHYDE
IN THE ANIMAL ORGANISM

BY
MARTTI KOIVUSALO

HELSINKI 1956

INTRODUCTION

Methanol (CH_3OH) is the lowest member of the series of primary saturated aliphatic alcohols. Its biological behaviour is markedly different from that of the next homologue, ethanol. Although the acute toxicity of methanol is apparently lower than that of ethanol, methanol has a specific, highly toxic late effect, i.e., its subacute and chronic toxicities are high. Very typical for methanol poisoning in man is the transitory or permanent blindness, amblyopia, which already may follow the ingestion of small amounts of methanol. Since methanol poisonings are rather usual especially during times of war and shortages, considerable attention has been devoted to methanol especially in toxicological and clinical literature. The significance of methanol, and especially that of its metabolites formaldehyde and formic acid, does not, however, seem to be confined to the field of toxicology. Certain nutrients, as, for instance, fruits, contain much pectin from which methanol is liberated in the organism. The tremendous advance during recent years in our knowledge of the metabolism of the so called one-carbon compounds has demonstrated that formaldehyde and formic acid or their derivatives are of a great significance in normal intermediary metabolism. The organism is capable of also using methanol for the formation of many important compounds.

In the following chapter a general survey is given of the literature concerning the metabolism of methanol and formaldehyde in the animal organism. Clinical and pathological aspects have not been discussed and the reader is referred in this respect to the monographs and papers of RØE (1943, 1946, 1955) and ORTHNER (1955). More detailed surveys of the rapidly expanding literature on the metabolism of one-carbon compounds can be found

which enables it to prevent the adsorption of methanol to the oxidative enzymes and consequently its conversion to formic acid. On the basis of these observations he also advocates the use of ethanol in addition to bicarbonate in the therapy of methanol poisoning.

ORTHNER (1950) takes an entirely opposite standpoint in regard to the effect of ethanol. He states that ethanol taken simultaneously with methanol dilates the blood vessels and raises the permeability, thus increasing the special toxic effects of the metabolic products of methanol.

In white mice the median lethal dose (LD_{50}) for a single intraperitoneal injection of methanol is significantly decreased by ethanol (GILGER, POTTS and JOHNSON 1952). Similar results have also been obtained in experiments with guinea pigs (MOESCHLIN and GARSON 1955). However, these experiments have dealt mainly with the acute toxicity of methanol, which is due to its narcotic effects and is much smaller than that of ethanol.

According to von FELLENBERG (1917), the low urinary excretion of methanol found after pectin-rich food is considerably increased when ethanol is also consumed. He suggested that the oxidation of methanol becomes more difficult when the organism must simultaneously oxidize large amounts of ethanol.

ASSER (1914) found in experiments on dogs and rabbits that when he gave ethanol simultaneously with methanol the increased excretion of formic acid in the urine decreased appreciably. Acetone and amyl alcohol had a similar effect. As the most appropriate explanation he considered the possible increase in the oxidation of the formic acid due to an increased permeability of the cells. This decrease in the urinary excretion of formic acid after the simultaneous intake of ethanol and methanol has since been confirmed in experiments with dogs and rabbits (BASTRUP 1947) as well as in man (KENDAL and RAMANATHAN 1953). In the latter it was found that when the dosage of methanol was 4 ml, about 10 ml of ethanol per hour were required to suppress totally the increased urinary excretion of formic acid.

Human experiments were also conducted by LEAF and ZATMAN (1952). In these experiments ethanol was taken at various times during the elimination of a fixed dose, 4 ml, of methanol. A single dose of 15 ml ethanol taken simultaneously caused a marked elevation in the peak concentration of methanol attained in the urine. When ethanol was taken after methanol it effectively arrested the decline in the urinary methanol concentration. After about two hours the decline occurred at the original rate. In all of their experiments it was seen that when ethanol was administered during the elimination of methanol, the decline in the methanol concentration in the urine was very slow. The reason for this effect of ethanol the authors consider to be an inhibition of the oxidation of methanol by alcohol dehydrogenase.

The oxidation of isotope-labelled methanol to carbon dioxide in rats in vivo is strongly inhibited by the simultaneous administration of ethanol

18

(BARTLETT 1950 b). When a sufficient amount of ethanol was given the oxidation of methanol ceased totally, and after the apparent oxidation of ethanol that of methanol continued at the same rate as before the administration of ethanol. The inhibitory action of ethanol on the metabolism of methanol was apparent also in the experiments of AGNER and BELFRAGE (1946), in which they administered simultaneously methanol and ethanol to two rabbits. The elimination of methanol from blood was distinctly retarded by ethanol.

We have very little knowledge of the effects of ethanol on the metabolism of methanol in in vitro systems. ZATMAN (1946) mentioned in a brief note the results of experiments on the effect of ethanol on the oxidation of methanol by the crude alcohol dehydrogenase from horse liver prepared according to LUTWAK-MANN (1938). This preparation was found to oxidize methanol at about one-ninth of the rate for the oxidation of ethanol. When mixtures of methanol and ethanol were used as substrate the oxidation of methanol was inhibited by ethanol, as judged from the decreased amount of formaldehyde formed. When the alcohols were present in equimolar amounts no formaldehyde was formed and the inhibition was still distinct when the molar ratio of ethanol and methanol was only 1 : 16.

Added ethanol depressed the oxidation of isotope-labelled methanol by rat liver slices as a linear function of the logarithm of the ethanol concentration (BARTLETT 1950 b). In these experiments a 72 per cent inhibition of the carbon dioxide formation from methanol was obtained when both ethanol and methanol were present in a concentration of 0.01 M.

PATHOGENESIS OF METHANOL POISONING

Since the end of the past century, when the first cases of human methanol poisoning were described, the cause of the specific toxicity of methanol has been much debated. Although many different hypotheses, some purely speculative and others based on clinical or experimental observations, have been presented, we do not as yet exactly know how the specific toxic action of methanol is brought about.

It was earlier a rather generally accepted opinion that methanol itself is not very toxic and that the toxicity depends on various impurities in the methanol (fusel oil) (STADELMANN and MAGNUS-LEVY 1912, IGERSHEIMER and VERZÁR 1913, ROSTEDT 1922, HÄMÄLÄINEN and TERÄSKELI 1928, and others). This theory, however, had to be abandoned since it was repeatedly shown that also chemically pure, synthetically produced methanol was the cause of numerous poisonings (e.g., REIF 1923, ALDER, BUSCHKE and GORDONOFF 1938). The acute toxicity of methanol is lower than that of ethanol, and the so called RICHARDSON's law that the toxicity of alcohols increases in the homologous series in the ratio 1: 3: 3² .. was shown to be valid also in the case of methanol and ethanol (FÜHNER 1905,

WEESE 1926). However, some authors have considered methanol as such to be responsible for its specific toxicity (REIF 1926, DINSLAGE and WINDHAUSEN 1926). Already POHL (1893) had shown that administered methanol remains in the organism for a long time and this cumulation was regarded as the basis of the toxicity by, e.g., SIMON (1933) and EGG (1926).

Already quite early the oxidation products of methanol, — formaldehyde and formic acid — were held as responsible for its toxicity. POHL (1893) had demonstrated that the administration of methanol increased the urinary excretion of formic acid, and many authors attributed the poisoning to formic acid (e.g., HARNACK 1912, LEO 1925). Still more ascribed it to formaldehyde (RABINOWITCH 1922, BRÜCKNER 1924, and others) although attempts to demonstrate formaldehyde in blood, urine and tissues in cases of methanol poisoning had been unsuccessful (e.g. POHL 1893, GETTLER and GEORGE 1918). The great reactivity of formaldehyde was regarded as the reason for this failure to demonstrate it. The occurrence of formaldehyde in the organism in methanol poisoning was demonstrated for the first time by KEESER (1931a). He obtained positive tests for formaldehyde in the cerebrospinal fluid, vitreous humour of the eye and peritoneal fluid of rabbits which were poisoned with methanol. Most of the later authors were also of the opinion that the specific toxicity of methanol is due to the slow formation of formaldehyde inside the cells (FLURY and WIRTH 1936, HAILE 1949, ORTHNER 1950). The combination of formic acid with the iron in haemoglobin and in oxidative enzymes of the cells, and the consequent hypoxia are according to RØE (1943, 1946, 1955) the primary factors in the toxicity of methanol in man. KENDAL and RAMANATHAN (1953) suggested the possibility that after primary conversion of part of the methanol into formaldehyde a secondary conversion of the latter into methyl formate may occur by a semiacetal dehydrogenase mechanism which they had observed in vitro. The preferential fat-solubility of the ester would then result in the localization and specific effects of methanol poisoning.

The acidosis which is repeatedly observed in human cases of methanol poisoning apparently plays an important rôle in the development of the typical manifestations of the poisoning (HARROP and BENEDICT 1920, RABINOWITCH 1922, RØE 1943, 1946). In experimental animals only slight acidosis has been observed (HASKELL, HILLEMAN and GARDNER 1921, LOEWY and MÜNZER 1923, LEO 1925). This acidosis has often been regarded as directly due to formic acid but the amounts of formic acid formed are not sufficient to account for the marked diminution of the blood alkali reserve often seen (EGG 1927, RØE 1946). A considerable increase has been observed in lactic acid in the blood (RØE 1946) and the urine (HARROP and BENEDICT 1920) and this may in part be responsible for the acidosis. The methylenation of amino groups in amino acids and proteins has also been suggested as a factor in the development of the acidosis (RABINOWITCH 1922, ORTHNER 1950).

METABOLISM OF FORMALDEHYDE IN THE ANIMAL ORGANISM

The occurrence of formaldehyde as a normal metabolite in the animal organism was denied for a long time and only a toxicological rôle was given to it. During the past few years, however, when our knowledge of the metabolism of one-carbon compounds has greatly advanced, formaldehyde has also gained new significance. It has now been shown to be a possible intermediate in many important biological reactions.

The reactions of formaldehyde in the intermediary metabolism can be divided into four groups, i.e., reactions where formaldehyde is formed, directly oxidative reactions, various condensation reactions, and reactions which link it to the metabolism of the one-carbon group.

FORMATION OF FORMALDEHYDE

The formation of formaldehyde from methanol has been discussed in the earlier chapter. However, formaldehyde may also be formed from other, in some cases more physiological compounds than methanol.

When various N-methylated compounds are demethylated by animal tissue preparations, it has been found that formaldehyde is formed from the methyl group. HANDLER, BERNHEIM and KLEIN (1941) found that broken cell preparations of rabbit, rat and guinea pig liver are able to oxidatively demethylate sarcosine to glycine. They obtained strongly positive qualitative reactions for formaldehyde in the solution of oxidized sarcosine.

MACKENZIE and DU VIGNEAUD (1949) and MACKENZIE (1950) studied further the biological oxidation of the methyl group of sarcosine in rat liver homogenates. They obtained radioactive formaldehyde as an oxidation product of sarcosine labelled in the methyl group with C^{14}. Formaldehyde was also isolated and identified by them in the form of dimedone derivative.

All the methyl groups which are known to be oxidized to formate and carbon dioxide do not apparently produce formaldehyde with the same facility during this reaction. Formaldehyde was obtained from sarcosine, dimethylaminoethanol, dimethylglycine and methanol incubated with rat liver preparations, but not from methionine, betaine, choline, glycine, serine, monomethylaminoethanol or aminoethanol by MACKENZIE, JOHNSTON and FRISELL (1953). The degradation of serine to glycine and formaldehyde, on the other hand, has been demonstrated in various liver preparations by VILENKINA (1949, 1952), BLAKLEY (1954 a, b) and ALEXANDER and GREENBERG (1955). SIEKEVITZ and GREENBERG (1950) obtained labelled formaldehyde from the methyl groups of methionine and choline and from the a-carbon of glycine and β-carbon of serine after incubation